ABSTRACT

Limbal stem cell deficiency (LSCD) can be secondary to multiple etiologies including contact lens wear, chemical or thermal trauma, and systemic disease, any of which can result in the reduction of the number of stem cells or their decreased functionality. Primary LSCD is seen with a variety of congenital anterior segment disorders. Often LSCD can be stabilized and timely diagnosis is the key. The use of topical corticosteroids and artificial tear lubricants, along with treatment of any underlying conditions, and discontinuation of contact lenses are important initial treatment strategies. Advance treatments include amniotic membranes, limbal stem cell transplantation and keratoprostheses.
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Davanger and Evensen discussed the possibility that the pericorneal papillary structure may be the generator of corneal epithelium in 1971. Subsequent studies demonstrated that limbal stem cells (LSC) were found to be long-lived, self-renewing, and played a vital role in the regeneration of corneal epithelial cells. The corneal LSCs arise from the peripheral epithelial basal layer and also act as a junctional barrier to the ingress of conjunctival cells onto the corneal surface. Damage to the LSC can result in either a focal or wide spread conjunctival invasion of the cornea, with complications of a dysfunctional tear film, persistent epithelial defects, pannus and neovascularization of the cornea. Opacification, melting and perforation of the cornea is a possibility in severe cases.

Patients with LSC deficiency (LSCD) can be asymptomatic but likely present with a variety of symptoms including decreased vision, tearing and ocular discomfort or pain. LSCD can result from external causes, including chemical or thermal injury, chemotherapy, Steven-Johnson syndrome, ocular surface disease, and the abuse of hydrogel contact lenses. Etiologies of primary LSCD include aniridia, epidermal dysplasia and Turner syndrome. Iatrogenic causes from ocular surgery can also cause LSCD, especially when multiple surgeries have been performed.

Diagnosis of LSCD can be challenging due to the non-specific symptoms and signs at presentation. There is not one classic symptom that is indicative of LSCD, and biomicroscopy findings are crucial in making the diagnosis. As the ocular surface manifestations can be quite variable and dependent on the extent of limbal involvement, conjunctivalization of the corneal surface is the most reliable sign. Ziadi, et al., described LSCD as a “clinical chameleon.” The use of vital stains can assist in the diagnosis as well as imaging techniques and histologic tests. Treatment is directed towards reducing the inflammatory response and restoring the stem cells. The condition can present as partial or total and be progressive. The use of amniotic membranes, scleral lenses and limbal cell transplantation has increased the success of stabilizing LSCD.
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OVERVIEW

In 2000, Dua, et al, reviewed LSCD. They characterized the limbal basal epithelium being the least differentiated, and lacking the keratin phenotype markers seen in other corneal cell populations. The peripheral basal cells are also highly proliferative and respond to central corneal injuries. This exclusive location for the corneal epithelial stem cells was also supported by the observation of abnormal corneal wound healing when the limbal tissue was disturbed. The location of stem cells in the limbal region allows for protection against solar damage (highly pigmented) and makes the cells less susceptible to shearing forces from trauma and other environmental forces.

The pathology of LSCD arises from either destructive loss of the LSC or the dysfunction of the limbal niche. Primary LSCD arises from conditions that do not support stem cell functions such as seen in aniridia, Peter’s anomaly and other congenital disorders. Most cases of LSCD are secondary and due to external causes as described prior. LSCD may be focal or diffuse and stationary or progressive. LSCD also has been described in the literature as partial and total. There does not appear to be a widely agreed upon designation. Jawaheer, et al, suggested the following in their review of the literature (Table 1):

A recent paper by Vazirani, et al, reviewed the demographic features and causes of with LSCD in 1331 patients. They reported that unilateral was more common than bilateral (59.4% versus 40.6%). Males comprised 65.7% and the median age was 24-years. Total LSCD accounted for 67% of eyes affected. The most common cause of unilateral LSCD was ocular surface burns (83.73%). In bilateral cases, the causes were more varied, with ocular surface burns (29.95%), allergic conjunctivitis (29.48%), Stevens-Johnson syndrome (23.11%), aniridia (9.43%), and mucous membrane pemphigoid (3.54%). LSCD from contact lenses was not a significant cause; however, this study was performed in India and may reflect a patient population bias.

Often, LSCD has often been described as focal when secondary to contact lenses. Reviews indicate that 15–73% cases of focal LSCD are due to CLs. Some have suggested that the term “limbal stem cell dysfunction” would be more appropriate in these cases. The clinical signs are typically limited to the superior cornea with projections of whorl-like epithelial opacities. The focal nature of the LSCD is thought to be caused by a disruption of the limbal cell environs rather than a loss of LSC. Contact lens-related LSCD appears to be from a combination of mechanical, hypoxic and toxic effects. In the past, the use of thimerosal-based solutions has been linked. Termote and associates recently reported on LSCD in contact lens patients. In the study population, LCSD occurred in about 3% of contact lens wearers. All the patients were hydrogel contact lens wearers. Key elements of this study related to contact lens wear included:

1. Mean age was about 38 years. 71% females, no racial preference;
2. Average contact lens wearing time of 18 years, 11 hours a day;

TABLE 1 Types of LSCD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total LSCD</th>
<th>Partial LSCD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 quadrants neovascularization</td>
<td>2–3 quadrants of neovascularization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circular conjunctivalization of entire limbus</td>
<td>120˚ to less than 360˚ of limbal involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complete disappearance of the palisades of Vogt</td>
<td>diffuse NaFL with/without epithelial defects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360 degrees of conjunctivalization</td>
<td>partial conjunctivalization of the cornea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete loss or unable to detect LSC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Jawaheer, et al.

LSCD = limbal stem cell deficiency

J Dry Eye Ocul Sur Dis 2(1):e4–e11; June 10, 2019
This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License. ©2019 Conto.
3. All cases were superior and 60% were bilateral;  
4. 71% reported wearing silicone hydrogel lenses and 53% wore them longer than the manufacture’s recommendation;  
5. 71% monthly replacement and 29% bi-weekly disposable lens;  

There were numerous brands of contact lenses as well as disinfection solutions used by the subjects, and the study results seemed to reflect more of the popularity of the products than a relational effect. Treatment included topical corticosteroids and preservative-free artificial tears. Average use of the steroid drops was about 8.5 months. Fifty nine percent of patients returned to contact lens wear about 6.5 months after diagnosis. Patients typically switched to daily disposable lenses and reduced their wearing time. Most continued to use the same disinfection solution/method as before.  

Patients (59%) demonstrated some type of ocular surface disease, mainly dry eye. Blepharitis was also seen, but less than dry eye disease. Visual acuity improved in nearly 75% of the patients from presentation, and none of the patients needed surgery to control the LSCD.  

Mechanical trauma may play a more significant role in contact lens-related LSCD. Lenses are designed to move and inadequate movement may lead to dehydration and increased friction to the ocular surface. Mechanical trauma may play a more significant role in contact lens-related LSCD. Lenses are designed to move and inadequate movement may lead to dehydration and increased friction to the ocular surface. The interaction between lid blinking and contact lens movement impact the superior limbus particularly and likely contributes to why LSCD in contact lens patients more frequently affects the superior cornea.  

Because of the variability of ingredients in disinfecting solutions, it is difficult to make any conclusions of their relationship to LSCD. The limbus is particularly sensitive to chemical insult and adverse toxic effects from solutions, along with the mechanical trauma, are likely to contribute to LSCD in susceptible patients. The impact of mini-scleral and scleral lenses has not been extensively studied either from a causative or therapeutic perspective.  

**DIAGNOSIS**

Diagnosis of LSCD can encompass examination for the clinical signs, impression cytology to identify conjunctival goblet cells, spectral-domain optical coherence tomography to detect corneal thinning and confocal microscopy to discover alterations in the limbal stromal niche. Identification of LSCD by the use of cytoplasmic or nuclear markers has been difficult. A genetic marker, ABCB5, has been proposed to identify limbal stem cells. This marker appears to be involved in the maintenance of the LSC.  

The clinical features seen in LSCD are most evident with careful slit-lamp biomicroscopy examination. While there is no clear and consistent grading system for LSCD, Le, et al, did describe staging related to the ocular surface findings (17) (Table 2)(Figures 1–3). Impression cell cytology has facilitated the diagnosis of LSCD and helps to differentiate LSCD from other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Mild stage (Grade 1)  | • reduction in the corneal reflex from irregular surface and peripheral corneal opacification or conjunctivalization of the epithelium  
                           • fluorescein pooling with late staining or stippling  
                           • thinning or loss of palisades of Vogt, flattening of the limbus |
| Moderate stage (Grade 2) | • grade 1 findings  
                           • displays vortex keratopathy, superficial vascularization or peripheral pannus  
                           • migrated conjunctival epithelial cells attract new blood vessel formation  |
| Severe stage (Grade 3)  | • grade 1 and 2 findings  
                           • persistent epithelial defects  
                           • stromal neovascularization  
                           • scarring and opacification |

*Adapted from Le, et al.*

**TABLE 2** Grades and Stages of LSCD
ocular surface disease conditions, including vitamin A deficiency, squamous metaplasia and psoriasis.\textsuperscript{32} It can be implemented in the out-patient setting, although it does require resulting by either a histologist or an ocular pathologist, which has limited the utilization.

The goal of impression cytology is to detect conjunctival goblet cells rather than identifying limbal stem cells. It is also a useful adjunct in distinguishing variants of dry eye, particular mucin deficiency.\textsuperscript{33} This technique preserves the limbal stem cells without reducing the population further as seen in surgical biopsies of the limbus. The presence of goblet cells on the surface of the cornea is a definitive diagnosis of conjunctival ingrowth; however, it may not detect early changes. Repeated testing is suggested. Advance cases of LSCD may not show goblet cells presence due to keratinization of the cornea, producing a false negative. A study of impression cytology in total LSCD patients, showed that 100\% of subjects had goblet cells on samples.\textsuperscript{34} It also demonstrated the presence of squamous metaplasia in 75\% and inflammatory cells in 70\% of cases. Another study by Sacchetti, and associates, confirmed goblet cell detection by impression cytology verified the diagnosis of LSCD, but that 18\% of patients referred for LSCD showed a normal corneal cell phenotype rather than conjunctival epithelium.\textsuperscript{35} They suggested that the presence of cytokeratin-19, a structural protein seen in the conjunctiva but not in the cornea, may be a better marker in detecting conjunctival epithelium on the cornea. Cytokeratin-19 was found in 82\% of samples, while goblet cells were found in only 59\%. The report also indicated a high correlation between decreased corneal sensation and these cytological findings.

The use of anterior segment spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (ASOCT) has gained popularity as a valued instrument to assist in the diagnosis of LSCD. ASCOT is efficient and accurate, with low risk since it is a noncontact method.\textsuperscript{36} The corneal epithelial layer can be visualized and the thickness measured. Patients with LSCD will show a greater difference between the minimal and maximal epithelial thickness. In addition, the limbal region and palisades
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\caption{Partial (Grade 1) LSCD secondary to hydrogel contact lens, with conjunctival extension onto superior cornea.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2.png}
\caption{Partial (Grade 2) LSCD secondary to chemical exposure, with conjunctivalization greater than 2/3 of cornea, pannus and scarring of inferior cornea.}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Partial (Grade 3) LSCD secondary mucous membrane pemphigoid, with central conjunctivalization, corneal hypertrophy and hemosiderosis.}
\end{figure}
of Vogt (POV) can be identified and evaluated in vivo. The transition zone between the hyporeflective corneal epithelium and hyperreflective conjunctival epithelium can be distinguished with ASOCT.

The most common limbal ASOCT characteristics seen in patients with LSCD include flattening of the stroma and thinner epithelium (cross sections parallel) and a complete flat profile to the limbal transition zone (cross sections perpendicular). There is also absence of POV and limbal crypts that are typically visualized in normal eyes. Loss of the basement membrane (84%) and of Bowman layer (79%) was seen in LSCD. Subepithelial fibrosis was present in 90% of patients. These findings were relatively equal in distribution for all regions.

While less frequently used, in vivo confocal microscopy (IVCM) is an invaluable procedure to aid in the diagnosis of LSCD. It can perform live imaging of the corneal and limbal epithelium. Major drawbacks include cost of the instrument and a trained technician; it is invasive with direct contact of the cornea; and the field of view is limited. It also requires an adept provider to interpret the images.

Chan, et al, concluded by using IVCM, that the peripheral and central corneal thickness is decreased in LSCD. Corneal thickness was reduced by 20% centrally and 39% at the limbus as compared to controls. Others have reported that there was no variance between mean central corneal thickness in LSCD and normal patients; however, the maximal to minimal difference in corneal thickness was greater in LSCD.

The limbal structural changes between total, partial and focal LSCD are variable and can be imaged by IVCM. The cell phenotype in total LSCD was conjunctival, and mixed in partial. Conjunctival ingrowth, superficial and deep vascularization, as well as, the presence of inflammatory cells can be detected with IVCM. Inflammatory cells can be present even in non-affected areas in partial. This is an important prognostic factor in transplantation outcome. The subbasal nerves are thinned and sparsely distributed in focal or partial, while absent in total LSCD. This is a critical factor in corneal sensitivity and the development of neurotrophic keratitis.

Araújo and associates report that IVCM can also confirm LSCD 76% of time, by visualization of the conjunctival epithelial ingrowth on the corneal surface. Other findings include goblet cells, inflammatory cells, corneal neovascularization and diffuse hyperreflect of the stroma.

In summary, most cases of LSCD are diagnosed by careful clinical examination. At this time, other methods are typically used to support the diagnosis and assist in therapeutic strategies.

MANAGEMENT

The management of LSCD is varied and dependent on the clinical appearance, whether it is unilateral or bilateral and partial or total. There has been significance progress in the treatment of LSCD over the past decade utilizing both medical and surgical approaches. The first line of therapy is always to address the inflammatory response and remove the underlying cause if possible. Initial medical management is aimed at the restoring the homeostasis of the limbal zone. In total LSCD, medical management is often not effective and requires surgical intervention.

In partial or focal LSCD, management of pulsing with variable dosing of topical corticosteroids such as prednisolone acetate 1% or loteprednol etabonate 0.5% is considered to be first-line therapy for most cases. The use of compounded preservative-free methylprednisolone 1% is also an option. There are limited references on the use of either fluorometholone 0.1% or difluprednate 0.05%, and use of NSAID agents has not been discussed. The treatment of underlying ocular surface disease and discontinuation of contact lens wear is a key factor. Often LSCD patients have poor tear dysfunction and persistent intensive lubrication of the ocular surface is critical. This underscores the importance of treating ocular surface disease, including rosacea, prior to the fitting of hydrogel lenses to reduce the possibility of focal LSCD. When more than 4 clock hours of the limbus is involved, LSCD is likely to persist greater than 3 months. Patients may need to be on corticosteroids for longer periods and early withdrawal can lead to under treatment. At least a month of corticosteroid use produced a significant clinical response in a study by Kim, et al. In this series, corticosteroids were tapered off in 82% of patient in 2–3 months. Most patients that respond to conservative medical management
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may require 12–15 months of treatment to stabilize
the ocular surface signs.

After initial treatment with corticosteroids, cyclo-
sporine 0.5% can be used to discourage the inflam-
matory response. Lifitegrast 5% may be a possible
alternative to cyclosporine. 42 Compounded 0.03%
tacrolimus ointment or drops have been shown to
target underlying inflammation from ocular surface
disease. 43 Other adjunctive therapeutics includes topi-
cal vitamin A ointment, punctal plug occlusion and
autologous serum drops. Scleral lenses may prove to
be a useful modality in partial LSCD. 21,44

The use of amniotic membrane (AM) patches in the
treatment of LSCD is well established. 45,46 When used
as a graft, AM is typically glued or sutured in place.
Temporary AMs (cryopreserved such as PROKERA®
or dehydrated such as AmbioDisk™) can also be used
in cases that do not respond to conservative therapy. 47,48
While not providing stem cells, these membranes sup-
port the regeneration of LSC. A surgical permanent
graft is preferred in total chemical injuries, since the
palpebral conjunctiva is often involved, and the AM
can be extended onto the conjunctival surface. A recent
paper by Baradaran and associates studied the use of
amniotic membrane extracted eye drops (AMEED)
to aid in the cultivation of LSCs. 49

There are many surgical approaches that have been
developed over the past 2 decades in the management
of LSCD.13,50–52 All limbal transplantations provide
either allogeneic or autologous cell sources for the
graft. Partial LSCD can use autologous limbal tissue
from the uninvolved eye. 53,54 A concern for autologous
transplantation is iatrogenic damage to the donor
site. Ex vivo cultivation of a small sample from the
autologous cells minimizes the harm and increases
the success rates of LSC restoration. 41,55

Bilateral LSCD presents with more challenges
and poorer outcomes in visual rehabilitation. Since
bilateral LSCD is more often from burn injuries or
cicatricial condition, the accompanying damage to
surrounding ocular tissue also complicates recovery.
These issues must be appropriately addressed prior to
ocular surface surgical intervention. Therapy is either
cell-based procedures or keratoprostheses.

Cell-based procedures for bilateral LSCD include
allogeneic limbal stem cell epithelial transplantation
(LSCT) and cultivated oral mucosal transplantation
(COMET). Ex vivo cultivated LSCT has largely re-
placed direct methods. 56 While direct transplantation
has had reasonable outcomes, disadvantages include
delay of several weeks in the re-epithelialization of the
recipient surface and a large degree of donor tissue
is needed. AMs are then used to transfer the cultivated
cells to the host. The most concerning complication
of allogeneic LSCT is rejection. For this reason, the
use of postoperative systemic immunosuppressants
is recommended. Baylis, et al, reported a long-term
success rate for a stable corneal surface of 73%. 57

COMET can provide alternative allogeneic sources
since the oral mucosa shares certain traits with LSC.
A small biopsy from the oral mucosa is extracted
and then cultivated ex vivo. An AM is then seeded
and then transplanted onto the recipient’s corneal
surface. 58,59 Long-term outcomes have not been as
successful as LSCT. 60

Keratoprostheses can be used when there is
substantial corneal scarring and high likelihood of
corneal transplant failure. The procedure is similar to
penetrating keratoplasty. The Boston keratoprostheses
(KPro) is the most commonly used device and has
been in continuous development by Dohlman and as-
associates. 61 There are several types, dependent if there
is adequate wetting of the ocular surface. After the
procedure, a large diameter hydrogel bandage contact
lenses is placed indefinitely over the prosthesis with
maintenance use of broad-spectrum antimicrobial
agents. 56 For patients with adequate tear function, the
detention rate for 2 years for the Boston KPro Type 1
device has been reported to exceed 90%. 62

The management for both partial and total LSCD
has improved over the past several decades and promise
of better therapies, including induced pluripotent stem
cells (iPSCs) that can be transformed into limbal cells
are on the horizon. 63 The continued improvement of
scleral lenses, such as EyePrintPRO™, BostonSight®
PROSE or Zenlens™, also seem to show potential as
an adjunct therapy. 64

CONCLUSION

LSCD is caused by many etiologies, as in this case,
secondary to hydrogel contact lenses. The overall goal
of treatment is to reduce the inflammatory response
and to stabilize the ocular surface environment. If conservative treatments are not successful then surgery may be necessary to rehabilitate the vision. This case report highlights the current diagnosis techniques and treatment strategies in LSCD.
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